

Do you have any questions about the information provided?
How does this plan promote convenience while simultaneously inhibiting the ability of students to eat anywhere on campus? How does this plan help provide the students with a better service, would that not fall on the front-line staff regardless? Although Lister Hall has some of the cheapest room and board in the country, why increase the price substantially? Why limit students to eat in the Lister cafeteria and proposed new meal hall if there is not enough space for them to eat? Why can't dining hours be longer currently? How does this meal plan allow for staff to better predict inventory if the menu is constantly rotating? How does this cater to students that would not spend \$5000 in food over the course of one year, let alone 8 months? What about students that rely on themselves to pay for school and rent, does this not further exclude them? With Lister already being a very strong community, how does this help with including Lister into the rest of the campus community if students have to continually go back to Lister? What about the students that are on campus for 8+ hours per day and do not want microwaved cafeteria food for lunch? What about the transition a student must make from residence to private living/non-meal plan living, does this not under prepare them further? Why does this meal plan give a better opportunity for food education, is this not independent of the meal plan? How does this promote convenience while limiting students to spend only \$300 at the Marina per year? How does this promote variability and diversity in food choices when it limits the students meal options (away from Opa, L'express, Subway)? The concept/addition of flex dollars just seems like an extra step, how does this differ from paying with your debit or credit card? What about students that only have 10 minute breaks between classes and long lab periods and can't make it to Lister or Peter Lougheed and back, how is this more convenient for that student? How does this meal plan promote sustainability when many of the environmental changes could happen with the current meal plan?
What happens to places like the Marina and SUB? Will they use the "flex cash", because most of the time students are going to be eating lunch at SUB, meaning that \$300 flex cash wouldn't be enough for an entire year of eating lunch at SUB? How is this issue going to be addressed?
With this anytime dining model, is it an all you can eat? Will I pay \$5000 and be able to go to the cafeteria/Mar anytime I want and get whatever food I want "without paying".
Why was the name of the programme changed from AYCTE to Anytime Dining?
How morally deprived are you to peddle this awful plan?
Why is it so vague?
Why do you want to force us to get food from one location everyday? Why can't the meal plan stay the same?
What is the logic behind changing the current system? The current system already works and caters to students who would prefer to make their own food or simply cannot afford larger meals plans.
Won't students who eat less (those on the smaller meal plan right now) be paying more for no reason?
How will the leftover money be refunded?
Why is it mandatory for all lister residents to get this meal plan? The majority if residents don't even use the highest meal plan this year, let alone an anytime meal plan.
Will there be another alternative for those that can't afford the meal plan and residence?
How do you plan to handle the massive volume of kids coming. Lister is a massive residence with line ups already extensive, having only one location of food sources, do you really think that this will be an efficient means of food.
What if I am a light eater. Will I get my values worth or will I be paying for all the heavy eaters to eat there fill? Also what about the mar? Will they only accept the flex dollars?
What happens to the meal plan money after your year at residence? Students will not go through it all because they will get sick of the food easily after the first semester. I ate at the caf probably 5 times so far this year and `only a handful of times last year second semester.
As of now, people who cook for themselves and don't spend the money get it back on a budget account that will be returned to them once they graduate. With this new mandatory meal plan if a person spends little to no money, will they just be giving a free \$5000 to dining? What if you have a busy schedule and can't get food throughout the whole day and don't want a cold, bagged lunch getting mashed in your bag? What if you are a very late eater and want a hot meal but dining services closes before you eat? What if you wake up late and have to rush to class and don't have time to pick up a bagged meal and can't come back throughout the day? What if you can't afford to spend \$12000 on residence on top of tuition?
Yeah, what the heck!?
Will we still be paying for half the meal plan each semester because that is a lot of money to spend in top of residence fees and our regular university fees in just one semester. Some of us are only able to go to school because of government funding and the maximum amount you can get in a semester is \$7500 which will not cover the housing fee, new meal plan cost, and our school fees.
Is it possible to be cheaper?
Why do you think this is a good idea?
How does the so called "flex money" work exactly?
So what about people who don't eat or want to eat? How does pricing work with respect to how much you eat? Also I clicked on the link to the SFU page, just for an example and it didn't work... Also, I understand that this is being launched in Lister and the Peter Lougheed Hall, which can be expensive, but that does not mean that the \$5000 we pay should only contain \$300 flexdollars, because this transition is a big enough gamble as is (for too many reasons to discuss here); furthermore, the convenience aspect is actually eliminated for the most part, considering that both of those areas to use mealplan money are at the edges of campus, where any hypothetical first year would never spend their time.
How is this an improvement on the last plan. There is no relevant data saying this would help anyone.
How can you force people, who all eat different amounts of food, to pay the same amount of money? Under the current Meal Plan people who enjoy cooking for themselves can do so and simply get their meal plan money refunded to their account at the end of the year. Why should we exclude students that prefer to cook their own food from staying in residence and making friends. What is the point of even having kitchens on the floor if you implement this?
Are there still changes that will be made to the proposal?
Why would not include the other dining services (i.e. SUB) on campus to provide the students with more options? How much do students actually spend on lister centre in their meal plans now? as opposed to the other options in campus. Who is calling for the change in the meal plan? Is it the students or is it just the dining services planning on making more money off the students? How much does the average student actually eat to call for an increase in the amount on the meal plan that is almost half the cost of living in Lister? What happens to students who can't afford the amount on the meal plan? Why isn't there an option to opt out ? Not every likes the food in the cafe and they want to make their own foods to suit their preferences.
Why are we not allowed to take the food back to our dorms, this restricts residents to stay in the cafe when it might not be convenient.
Why do you think this would be a good idea?
How will a meal plan even more expensive benefit students in any way?
Why is it necessary to charge students more for a mandatory meal plan?
Why does the food plan need to change. Increasing the price will not increase the quality.
Why is it not an option to still be able to chose from SUB or CAB without a \$300 budget, \$300 just is not enough out of the \$5000 residence will be paying.
Why switch the meal plan?
According to the proposal are you aware that lister students students will have to walk all the way back to lister for lunch.
Would the Marina be operating as well in the new plan? Would we be able to take food back to our rooms?
Can it be used on campus anywhere
Why can't we take our meals back to our rooms or other places to eat? The cafeteria can get overcrowded and it's more convenient for students to be able to grab their food and then study somewhere while eating.
In what way does this actually benefit the student and not just your company?
Why won't you let people take food outside of the dining hall?
The presentation does not include how much it will cost nor what other actions you propose on taking such as limiting the mealplan to just the cafeteria, why was this information left out? To be properly informed, we need to know the positive outcomes and negative outcomes.
Why did anybody think this was a good idea?
Why limit the residents to only the caf besides the very small flex cash
Why do you want to con money from students who are already struggling to pay your current fees, along with already outrageous tuition prices?
*The SU proposed a meal plan change before and it had an 85% disapproval rate so how is this one different than the SU's? *Why can't this meal plan be optional? It makes residence harder to afford for many people, and it becomes money thrown away if they are light eaters.
How do you think students can afford this? (They can't)
Why is it so expensive? Why is there an attempt to implement it again when students have already rejected it before? Is there an option for students who spend less on food? What makes this meal plan seem like a good way to make students buy good from the caf more frequently (forcing it on them is not a good strategy)?
Why are you making this change?
How would this impact students who DO NOT eat 5000 dollars worth of food. WHY should students only have access to the caf food (especially if studying late night on campus) and why is flex dollars only 300 dollars. that is NOT enough. if this plan does come to play, how are you going to offer versatility?
Is money only deducted when you go for food or is it deducted everyday regardless?
what will happen to my left over meal plan money carried from previous year?
Why are you pricing out students with this outrageously expensive proposal?
Why do students have to have a meal plan like they are in a 5 star hotel?
It is said that the anytime dining will not be available at all places that current meal plan is available. Is that mean students can only purchase food with anytime dining as the Marina, the Lister market, and the Peter Lougheed Hall? Will SUB food court be available for anytime dining meal plan? If I can't spend all my current meal plan money by the end of my residence contract next year, will I still be able to spend them "at the same locations where meal plan is accepted" as indicated on the residence website?
I understand the need for a larger meal plan for a portion of the students, but isn't there a way we could allow those of us that don't need it another option?

